



Co-financed by Greece and the European Union



Development of a **Textile** with **Silica** coating for environmental friendly control of insects in **Agricultural** production

Deliverable [19]: *[Characterization of screening materials: aerodynamic and optical properties]*

Version 1.0: first version delivered on 28/08/2022

This project is co-financed by the European Union and Greek national funds through the bilateral Greece-Germany S & T Cooperation Program, Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship & Innovation (EPANЕК) (project code: T2DGE_0120).



Co-financed by Greece and the European Union

Project Details:

Programme: Bilateral Greece-Germany S & T Cooperation Program, Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship & Innovation

Project Title: Development of a textile with Silica coating for environmental friendly control of insects in agricultural production

Project Acronym: AgriTexSil

Proposal Number: T2DGE-0120

Time Frame: 29/05/2018 – 28/08/2022

Deliverable Details

WP: [WP7-Textile evaluation]

Task: [7.1]: [Evaluation of aerodynamic and optical properties of the screens]

Deliverable Title: [Characterization of screening materials: aerodynamic and optical properties]

Deliverable type: Report, Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

Lead beneficiary: [University of Thessaly]

Involved Partners: [UTH, ThraceNG, ITA]

Deadline for delivery: month [51], [28/08/2022]

Date of delivery: [28/08/2022]

Abbreviations:

ITA: Institut für Textiltechnik, Germany

UTH: University of Thessaly, Greece

ThraceNG: Thrace Nonwovens & Geosynthetics S.A.

Table of contents

1. Introduction	6
2. Air flow through screens and permeability characterisation.....	10
3. Materials and Methods	13
3.1. Tested screens	13
3.2. Aerodynamic properties of the agricultural screens	14
3.3. <i>Optical properties of the agricultural screens</i>	15
3.4. <i>Statistical analysis</i>	16
4. Results.....	17
4.1. Air permeability properties	17
4.2. Optical properties	22
4.3. Ventilation properties	25
5. Discussion.....	28
6. Conclusion.....	31
7. References.....	32

List of tables

Table 1. Average thoracic width of six common greenhouse pests and the appropriate mesh size of the exclusion screen.....	8
Table 2. Physical properties of seven woven agricultural screens; ϵ is the porosity; x is the thickness of the porous material (μm).....	13
Table 3. Physical properties of three coated with SiO_2 nanoparticles and three non-coated insect-proof screens; ϵ is the porosity; e is the thickness of the porous material (μm).....	14
Table 4. Aerodynamic characteristics of the seven agricultural woven screens; a , b are the coefficients occurred from the second-order correlation between pressure drop and air velocity; R^2 is the fit determination coefficient, K (m^2) the air permeability and Y the inertial factor	21
Table 5. Aerodynamic characteristics of three coated with SiO_2 nanoparticles and three non-coated insect-proof screens; a , b are the coefficients occurred from the second-order correlation between pressure drop and air velocity; R^2 is the fit determination coefficient, K (m^2) the air permeability and Y the inertial factor.....	21

Table 6. Estimated values (95% confidence) of the screen’s discharge coefficient for all tested insect-proof screens. 26

List of figures

Figure 1. Subsonic wind tunnel with a 50×70×300 cm working session and speed up to 30 m s⁻¹ 14

Figure 2. Anemometer and manometer sensors..... 15

Figure 3. Pressure drop (Pa) in relation to the upstream velocity (m s⁻¹) for seven agricultural woven screens in the wind tunnel..... 18

Figure 4. Pressure drop (Pa) in relation to the upstream velocity (m s⁻¹) for 3 non-coated and 3 coated with SiO₂ nanoparticles insect-proof screens in the wind tunnel..... 19

Figure 5. Pressure drop (Pa) in relation to the upstream velocity (m s⁻¹) for all tested insect-proof screens. Circles: 25-mesh; triangles: 40-mesh; squares: 50-mesh screen; empty symbols: non-coated screens; filled symbols: coated screens. 20

Figure 6. Light transmission (%) through coated and non-coated insect proof screens in different wavelengths (nm)..... 23

Figure 7. Illustration of non-coated (A, C and E) and coated (B, D and F) screens captured through microscope 24

Figure 8. Configuration of the screen's opening area based on the number of threads per inch; (1) IP-25M, (2) IP-40M, and (3) IP-50M..... 25

Summary

Screens have received a wide application in agriculture as a means of protection against biotic and abiotic parameters. Their use is related to the integrated pest management (IPM) since they are considered as an environmentally friendly approach contributing to the reduction of insecticides in a greenhouse. However, the installation of agricultural screens on the vent openings affects significantly the ventilation rate leading to non-uniform microclimate conditions in the interior of a greenhouse. The present study aimed to evaluate the aerodynamic and optical properties of several agricultural screens. The study focuses on the evaluation of three insect-proof screens of different mesh sizes (25, 40, 50 mesh). Three identical samples of the tested insect-proof screens were coated with SiO₂ nanoparticles, a well-established substance for its non-toxic insecticidal properties. For this reason, screens were placed in a subsonic wind tunnel to measure the levels of pressure drop concerning air velocity. Considering the pressure loss and the air velocity while knowing the dynamic viscosity of air, the air density, and the porous material thickness; air permeability (K_p) and inertial factor (Y) were estimated by using the motion equation of a fluid through a porous material expressed by the Forchheimer equation. Based on the results, air permeability and inertial factor were significantly affected by the presence of the porous material. It was indicated that the air permeability coefficient was increased as opposed to the inertial factor coefficient which decreased as porosity was increasing. Higher values of pressure drop were recorded for screens of the greater mesh size. Moreover, screens coated with SiO₂ nanoparticles showed a significant decrease in the light transmittance compared to the non-coated screens. However, a 25-mesh screen coated with silica presented higher light transmission values compared to a 50-mesh non-coated screen in the PAR and IR wavelength band. The results of the present study underline the aerodynamic and optical efficacy of insect-proof screens coated with silica nanoparticles and their further installation in greenhouse vent openings.



Co-financed by Greece and the European Union

The deliverable is available upon request

Please send e-mail to the project coordinator: nkatsoul@uth.gr

D [10]: [Data of the fiber's characterization]

